Solid State Spectroscopy II

Particle Spectroscopy		
<i>types of probe particles</i> – nuclei (internal) – muons (extrinsic) – electrons (internal) (external)	S: → NMR → μSR → ESR → EELS	
– neutrons (external)	\rightarrow neutron scattering	

1 — Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

- use the nuclei of the crystal lattice (with $I \neq 0$) as a local probe for the electronic environment.
- the nuclear spins interact with the surrounding electrons via "hyperfine coupling"

basic idea:

Apply magnetic field $\vec{B}_0 = B_0 \cdot \hat{z}$ to the sample. This lifts the degeneracy of the nuclear levels (for $I \neq 0$) with different magnetic quantum number, m = -I, ...+I.

Nuclear Zeeman effect: $H = -\vec{\mu}_N \cdot \vec{B}$

2I + 1 levels

$$m = -I, \dots, +I$$

$$I = 2 \rightarrow m = 5$$

$$\frac{B = 0}{4}$$

$$\frac{B > 0}{4}$$

$$m = I$$

$$E_m = g \cdot \mu_N \cdot m \cdot B_0$$

$$\frac{B = 0}{1}$$

$$M = I$$

$$M = -I$$

$$m = -I$$
energy splitting

Nuclear Zeeman splitting

$$\mu_N = \frac{e \cdot \hbar}{m_P} = 5.05 \cdot 10^{-27} \left[A \cdot m^2 \right] \text{ `nuclear magneton}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{2000} \cdot \mu_B \quad \text{ since } m_p \approx 2000 \cdot m_e$$

The g-factors depend on the particular nucleus in a more complicated way $\rightarrow\,$ look it up in tables

nuclei are made up of protons (p) and neutrons (n) both are spin 1/2 particles p = (uud) $g_s(p) = 5.59$ $g_L(p) = 1$ n = (udd) $g_s(p) = -3.83$ $g_L(n) = 0$

Now one probes the level splitting with electromagnetic radiation, which induces transition between them.

for $\hbar \omega = \mathbf{g} \cdot \mu_{\mathsf{N}} \cdot B$ with B = 1 T, I = 1, $g = 2 \implies \Delta E \approx 0.1 \mu e V$ \rightarrow need radio frequency waves

reminder: $k_B \cdot 300K \approx 25meV$ $0.1\mu eV \sim 1mK$

measure:

- frequency of absorption maximum \Rightarrow local *B*-field at nuclear site
- line width

⇒ local *B*-field at nuclear site
 ⇒ relaxation rates either due to static distribution of *B*-fields or fluctuation as a function of time



remember from optics: time-dependent-perturbation-theory



transition rates for absorption and for stimulated emission are equal. \rightarrow if $N_1 = N_2 \Rightarrow$ no net absorption. however: thermal population is somewhat lower for higher levels.

Average nuclear magnetization density:

 $M = N \cdot g \cdot \mu_{N} \left\{ \underbrace{I_{z}}_{thermal} \right\}^{T}_{thermal}$ $= N \cdot g \cdot \mu_{N} \frac{\sum_{m=-I}^{+I} \hbar \cdot m \ e^{-g\mu_{N}} \frac{B_{0} \cdot m}{k_{B}T}}{\sum_{m=-I}^{I} e^{-g \cdot \mu_{N}} \frac{B_{0} \cdot m}{k_{B}T}}$ $\exp(1+x) \approx 1 + x \text{ for } x \ll 1$ $\approx N \cdot g \cdot \mu_{N} \frac{\sum_{m=-I}^{I} \hbar m \left[\left(\underbrace{X} + \frac{g\mu_{N} \cdot B_{0} \cdot m}{k_{B} \cdot T} \right) \right]}{\sum_{m=-I}^{I} 1 + \underbrace{g\mu_{N} \cdot B_{0} \cdot m}{k_{B} \cdot T}}$ $\text{use} : \sum_{m=-I}^{I} m = 0 \qquad \sum_{m=-I}^{I} m^{2} = \frac{(2I+1) \cdot I(I+1)}{3}$ $M = N \cdot g^{2} \cdot \mu_{N}^{2} \frac{B_{0}I(I+1)}{3k_{B}T} \sim \frac{B_{0}}{T}$ = Curie law for paramagnetic moments

for T = 300K, B = 1 T, I = 1 $\rightarrow \frac{\langle I_z \rangle}{\hbar} \sim 10^{-6}$

but there are typically 10²⁰ nuclei

O Classical description of NMR

treat the net magnetization density $ar{M}$ as a classical vector

In a magnetic field \vec{B} there is a torque $\vec{\tau}$.

$$\vec{\tau} = \vec{M} \times \vec{B}_0 = \frac{d\vec{I}}{dt} = \frac{\hbar}{g \cdot \mu_N} \frac{d\vec{M}}{dt}$$
= Bloch equation

Change of angular momentum density



- free precession of \vec{M} around \vec{B}_0 where M_z is conserved.
- precession frequency: \rightarrow $w_L = -\gamma \cdot B_0$ = Larmor frequency

Introduce relaxation mechanism:

longitudinal relaxation rate	T_1	spin-lattice relaxation rate
transversal relaxation rate	T_2	spin-spin relaxation rate
$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM_z}{dt} &= \frac{M_0 - M_z}{T_1} \\ \frac{dM_{x,y}}{dt} &= \frac{M_{x,y}}{T_2} \end{aligned}$		concerns the relaxation towards equilibrium $\left< \vec{M} \right> = (0, 0, M_0)$

> T_I measurement Bring unmagnetized sample in a magnetic field $B_0 \cdot \hat{z}$



Here the population of levels needs to be changed \rightarrow requires an energy transfer.



The crystal lattice acts like a heat bath \rightarrow spin-lattice relaxation rate T_1

> T₂ measurement

For example: partially magnetized sample along x so as to induce a component $\vec{M}(t=0)$ = $(M_{x_0},0,0)$



static case: dephasing of spin ensemble due to inhomogeneous magnetic field B.



Dynamic processes also can contribute to T_2 since they also destroy the phase coherence.

> Combine both sets of equations (free percession and relaxation).

$$\frac{dM_z}{dt} = \gamma \cdot \left(M \times B\right)_z + \frac{M_0 - M_z}{T_1}$$
$$\frac{dM_{x,y}}{dt} = \gamma \cdot \left(M \times B\right)_{x,y} + \frac{M_{x,y}}{T_2}$$

total field: $\underbrace{\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{z}}_{\text{static field}} + B_1 \underbrace{\left[\hat{x} \cos(\omega t) + \hat{y} \sin(\omega t) \right]}_{\text{rf-field}}$

Consider rotating coordinate system, with frequency ω



$$\frac{dM_{z'}}{dt} = -\gamma \cdot B_1 \cdot M_{y'} - \frac{M_{z'} - M_0}{T_1}$$
$$\frac{dM_{x'}}{dt} = (\gamma \cdot B_0 - \omega) M_{y'} - \frac{M_{x'}}{T_2}$$
$$\frac{dM_{y'}}{dt} = -(\gamma \cdot B_0 - \omega) M_{x'} - \gamma B_1 \cdot M_{z'} - \frac{M_{y'}}{T_2}$$

Bloch equations in rotating coordinates

If equilibrium is maintained: "slow passage of ϖ or B_{θ} through the resonance condition"

$$\rightarrow \frac{d\langle M_x \rangle}{dt} = \frac{d\langle M_y \rangle}{dt} = \frac{d\langle M_x \rangle}{dt} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow 3 \text{ linear equations}$$

$$\langle M_{x'} \rangle = \gamma \cdot B_1 \cdot \langle M_0 \rangle \cdot \frac{\omega - \gamma \cdot B_0}{(\omega - \gamma B_0)^2 + \frac{\pi}{4}^2}$$

$$\langle M_{y'} \rangle = \frac{\gamma \cdot B_1 \cdot \langle M_0 \rangle}{T_2} \frac{1}{(\omega - \gamma \cdot B_0)^2 T_2^2}$$

$$\langle M_{x'} \rangle = \frac{1 + (\omega - \gamma \cdot B_0)^2 T_2^2}{(\omega - \gamma B_0)^2 + \frac{\pi}{4}^2}$$

with $\Gamma = \frac{2}{T_2} \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2 B_1^2 T_1 T_2}$

$$\langle \tilde{M}_{x'} \rangle$$

$$\langle M_{y'} \rangle$$

$$\langle M_{y'} \rangle$$

$$\Gamma = \frac{2}{T_2} \sqrt{1 + \gamma^2 B_1^2 T_1 T_2}$$

two cases:

a) weak rf-field $B_1^2 << \frac{1}{\gamma^2 T_1 T_2}$ $\rightarrow \Gamma \approx \frac{2}{T_2} \qquad \rightarrow T_2$ measurement b) strong rf-field $B_1^2 >> \frac{1}{\gamma^2 T_1 T_2}$ $\rightarrow \Gamma \approx 2\gamma B_1 \sqrt{\frac{T_1}{T_2}}$ power broadening So far we considered the continuous wave techniques. Alternative is the pulse method.

Apply a pulse of frequency ω_L for duration t_P

for
$$0 \rightarrow t_P \Rightarrow \bar{B}_{eff} = B_1 \hat{x}$$



Pulse of appropriate duration t_P rotates \overline{M} into the y-plan, $t_P = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot B_1}$ After t_P the magnetic field B_1 is switched off.

→ free precession around $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{z}$ $\vec{M}(t=0) = (0, M_{u'_0}, 0)$

$$B_0 \bigwedge^{z} y'$$

$$M(t=0) x$$



Two processes contribute to decay of $M_{y'}$, i.e. to Γ

- dynamic ones, $\frac{1}{T_1}$
- static field inhomogeneities

Spin-Echo-Technique



After some time τ apply a 180° pulse (π – pulse)

- → Dephasing process due to random but static local B fields is reversed ⇒ echo signal appears because spin dephasing is reversed
- \rightarrow Dephasing due to dynamic $\frac{1}{m}$ processes is not reversed
 - \rightarrow Amplitude of echo is smaller

Ratio of
$$\frac{I_{echo}}{I_{initial}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{T_1}$$